

**ATTACHMENT A –
CONSULTATION REPORT**

**Proposed *Sunshine Coast Planning Scheme 2014*
(Interim LGIP Amendment)**
Consultation report: **Local Government Infrastructure Plan**

Total number of submissions: 23

21 submissions raised matters outside the scope of the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan Amendment

Key issues raised in submissions:

- A number of concerns raised relate to matters that are outside the scope of the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan Amendment and generally related to other parts of the planning scheme, such as zoning, building heights, marine turtle provisions
- Some suggestions for improvements to the desired standards of service which can be considered in the next Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment
- Request for amendments to the road transport network

- Public notice published in the Courier Mail (including online in the Courier Mail) on 1 October 2022;
- Copy of the public notice and amendment documentation provided on Council's website and hard copies made available at each Council customer service centre at Nambour, Caloundra and Maroochydore;
- Newsflash issued to all regular planning scheme users and community members that have previously expressed an interest in receiving information about planning scheme and development matters;
- Dedicated webpage on Council's 'Have Your Say' webpage, including a copy of the public notice, amendment documentation and supporting information, and online submission form; and
- In addition to the minimum requirements for a notification of this type, Council directly contacted by email over a hundred local community groups to advise them of the draft amendment and to provide them with the opportunity to comment.

INTRODUCTION

The proposed *Sunshine Coast Planning Scheme 2014* (Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment) relating to the Local Government Infrastructure Plan was subject to public consultation from 4 October 2022 to 1 November 2022, for a period of at least 15 business days, in accordance with the *Minister's Guidelines and Rules*.

Part A of this Report provides an overview of the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment and the public consultation process undertaken; and

Part B of this Report considers the key issues/concerns raised in submissions and outlines Council's response to these issues.

PART A

OVERVIEW OF THE PROPOSED INTERIM LGIP AMENDMENT

The proposed interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment has been prepared to update the Schedules of Work to reflect updated network planning and project costs (including associated mapping changes).

OVERVIEW OF THE PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS

The proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment was placed on public consultation from 4 October 2022 to 1 November 2022. As part of the public consultation program, council undertook the following community engagement activities:

OVERVIEW OF SUBMISSIONS

During the public consultation period, Council received a total of 23 submissions. Of the total number of submissions received, 17 submissions outlined provisional support or full support for the proposed amendment. Several issues are matters which are considered to be outside the scope of the Local Government Infrastructure Plan and have been referred to the relevant area within Council for further consideration as appropriate.

PART B

The matters raised in submissions that are directly relevant to the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment relate to updates to the transport (roads) network, being a total of 2 submissions.

CONSIDERATION OF KEY ISSUES AND RESPONSES

This section of the report considers the key issues / concerns raised in submissions and Council's response to these issues.

Key issue/concern 1: Amend the road network Schedule of Works (and associated mapping) to remove road project R-26-001 (CD road, Maroochydore), update the description of road projects R-26-008 Sugar Road, Maroochydore and R-19-002 Ulm Street, Caloundra in the Schedule of Works.

Response

The submitter's comments are noted. It is proposed to update the Schedule of Works for road transport and associated mapping to reflect the submission.

Recommendation: That Table SC3.2.2 Transport network – roads schedule of works be updated as well as relevant Transport (Roads) maps and the Schedule of Works model to remove project R-26-001 and update projects R-26-008 and R-19-002.

Key issue/concern 2: *Include an establishment cost for a new road link on a Wises Road site which had been added as a new project.*

Response

Upon review, this project (R-24-001) was identified as non-trunk infrastructure and therefore, should not be in the Local Government Infrastructure Plan. This project should be removed from the Schedule of Works, mapping and model.

Recommendation: That Table SC3.2.2 Transport network – roads schedule of works be updated to remove project R-24-001, as well as relevant Transport (Roads) map (RNR32) and the Schedule of Works model.

OTHER

Key issue/concern 3: *Request for no increased building heights and density along the coastline, preventing encroachment of the beach, as well as concerns over built form and development outside the urban footprint. Key areas mentioned include Point Cartwright, Buddina, the Spit, Bokarina, Wurtulla.*

Response

Building height and zoning are not part of the Local Government Infrastructure Plan. These matters relate to other parts of the Planning Scheme. The issue raised is outside the scope of this proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan Amendment and will be referred for consideration as part of the preparation of the New Sunshine Coast Planning Scheme Project.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.

Key issue/concern 4: *Support for council to simultaneously plan infrastructure with land uses, in a holistic manner.*

Response

Noted. The *Planning Act* requires council to have a Local Government Infrastructure Plan which responds to the land use planning in the planning scheme.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.

Key issue/concern 5: *Stormwater management utilising horizontal sea walls is not supported.*

Response

Sea walls are not included in the Local Government Infrastructure Plan trunk infrastructure. As such, there are no sea walls proposed in the Local Government Infrastructure Plan or the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment.

Council's strategy for managing coastal hazards is covered by the *Coastal hazard adaptation strategy*.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.

Key issue/concern 6: *Riparian and flood hazard areas on a Rosemount property are incorrectly mapped.*

Response

Whilst riparian treatment methods are relevant to the Local Government Infrastructure Plan stormwater network planning, the riparian area mapping is not shown on the Local Government Infrastructure Plan stormwater maps and is not relevant to the proposed amendment.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.

Key issue/concern 7: *Maintain and protect beaches and existing open spaces from encroachment and development. Also expand the open space network, with particular reference to Pt Cartwright bushland reserve.*

Response

Changes to the categories of existing open space are beyond the scope of the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment. However, Point Cartwright is recognised as a significant open space asset and will continue to be protected as open space in line with the Environment and Liveability Strategy.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.

Key issue/concern 8: *The impact of lighting of the coastal stretch on marine life patterns needs consideration.*

Response

Any changes to acceptable foreshore development and embellishments are outside scope of the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment. However, Council's Open Space and Coastal policy positions and acceptable embellishments are outlined in the Environment and Liveability Strategy.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.

Key issue/concern 9: *Mapping of the community facilities network does not accurately reflect the current community facility zoning, with particular reference to two sites in Mudjimba.*

Response

The Local Government Infrastructure Plan does not manage the consideration of zoning changes and is not recommending any changes to zoning. The land identified in the submission remains zoned as land for community facilities.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.

Key issue/concern 10: *A submitter provided suggestions for the integration of a world class cultural centre with a traditional owner parklands and cultural places in preparation for Brisbane 2032, as well as suggestions for the renaming of parks and use of cultural areas.*

Response

This matter is outside the scope of the Local Government Infrastructure Plan and the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.

Key issue/concern 11: *Opposition to a light rail project and support for a railway network.*

Response

This matter is outside the scope of the Local Government Infrastructure Plan and the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.

Key issue/concern 12: *Concern about the road transport desired standards of service (DSS) not providing significant focus on road safety elements. As well as the modelling focussing on work trips rather than all trips. Calls for a balanced assessment of when prioritising projects. Support for the DSS to focus on increased facilities for walking and cycling to encourage a modal shift from use of the private car.*

Response

Amendments to the Desired Standards of Service are outside the scope of the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.

Key issue/concern 13: *The owners of Sunshine Plaza recognise the importance of linkages between the Plaza and the new city centre and request Council ensure the linkages incorporate vehicle, cycle and pedestrian movements.*

Response

The submitters comments are acknowledged. This matter is outside the scope of the proposed Interim Local Government Infrastructure Plan amendment.

Recommendation: No change to the proposed amendment in response to this issue.